

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 7504-80241 1515 Michael P. McLeod 09/976,538 10/12/2001 **EXAMINER** 24628 07/08/2005 OROPEZA, FRANCES P WELSH & KATZ, LTD 120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606 3762

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/976,538	MCLEOD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frances P. Oropeza	3762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/15/	05 (RCE and Amendment).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. The Applicant's submission filed on 6/15/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1, 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Albrecht et al. (US 6603995).

Platt discloses a physiological signal acquisition device comprising a hand-held portable processing element (2), and an acquisition unit (1) with sensors (6) (figure 1; col. 1 @ 4-8; col. 4 @ 13-34). The concept of disposing the acquisition unit on the chest amounts to an intended use limitation of which Platt performs or is inherently capable of performing. Platt discloses the claimed invention except for the generation of a twelve lead electrocardiograph and identifying the QRS complex in the raw cardiac signal.

As to the twelve lead ECG, Albrecht et al. teaches cardiac monitoring using circuitry and hardware to generate a twelve lead electrocardiograph signal for the purpose of providing comprehensive cardiac monitoring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide circuitry and hardware to perform a twelve lead

Art Unit: 3762

ECG in the Platt system in order to optimize the quality of the monitoring and the versatility of the system so cardiac conditions such as ischemia and myocardial infarction could be identified (abstract; col. 1 @ 24-45; col. 3 @ 42-50; col. 5 @ 6-27; col. 9 @ 58-67).

As to the QRS complex, Albrecht et al. teaches cardiac monitoring using identification of the QRS complex for the purpose of analyzing different parameters of the electrocardiograph signal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have identified the QRS complex in the electrocardiograph signal in the Platt system in order to optimize signal analysis so individuals at risk for ventricular arrhythmias are identified, enabling effective treatment (abstract; col. 9 @ 33-57; col. 14 @ 44-48).

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Albrecht et al. (US 6603995) and further in view of Rohde (US 5876351). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for the display being an LCD with sufficient resolution to display waveforms.

Rohde teaches data display on a GAMEBOY TM system using an LCD (20) with sufficient resolution to display waveforms for the purpose monitoring the ECG of the patient. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an LCD with sufficient resolution to display waveforms in the modified Platt system in order to provide a proven GAMEBOY TM communication means for signal display (col. 5 @ 18-21).

Art Unit: 3762

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Albrecht et al. (US 6603995) and further in view of Skelton et al. (US 6292692). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for the screen being a touch screen interface.

Skelton et al. teach communications interface using a touch screen for the purpose of making input selection known to a controller/ microprocessor. Absent any teachings of criticality of unexpected results, merely changing the input means from a joypad and control buttons to a touch screen interface would be an obvious design choice.

5. Claims 6-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Albrecht et al. (US 6603995) and further in view of Rockwell et al. (US 6141584). As discussed in paragraph 2 of this action, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for: a read only memory card (claims 6 and 7), wireless communication using an infrared transceiver (claim 8) or a radio frequency transceiver (claim 9), an audio recording unit (claim 10), signal conditioning circuits (claim 11), a "baseline sway filter" (claim 12) and signal analysis and interpretation (claim 14).

As to a memory card, Rockwell et al. teaches data storage using a read only memory card for the purpose of recording the ECG and audio data in memory. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the read only memory card in the modified Platt system in order to provide a mechanism enabling the collected data to be reviewed and analyzed at a future time (col. 11 @ 3-16).

As to wireless communication, Rockwell et al. teach wireless communication using infrared and radio frequency communication signals for the purpose of conveying information to remote locations. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used infrared and radio frequency signals in the modified Platt system in order to enable sharing of information and report generation to optimize the patient's treatment (col. 5 @ 2-17).

As to an audio unit, Rockwell et al. teach event recording using an audio unit for the purpose of documenting events associated with patient interaction and care. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an audio unit in the modified Platt system in order to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the patient's condition and the treatment afforded the patient so the events are accurately reconstructed (col. 10 @ 1-9).

As to signal conditioning and filtering, Rockwell et al. teach signal conditioning using an amplifier, filter (read as a baseline sway filter), A /D converter for the purpose of optimizing the quality of the signal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used signal conditioning including baseline sway filtering in the modified Platt system in order to eliminate signal artifact, making the cardiac signals distinct so they can be more easily interpreted (col. 10 @ 46-49).

As to signal analysis, Rockwell et al. teach cardiac signal evaluation using signal analysis for the purpose of detecting the cardiac rhythm of the heart. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used signal analysis in the

Art Unit: 3762

modified Platt system in order to alert the user to cardiac condition, so conditions such as ventricular tachycardia requiring immediate medical attention can be identified and treated (col. 10 @ 49-51).

6. Claims 1, 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Flach et al. (US 6773396) and further in view of Albrecht et al. (US 5891045). Platt discloses a physiological signal acquisition device comprising a hand-held portable processing element (2), and an acquisition unit (1) with sensors (6) (figure 1; col. 1 @ 4-8; col. 4 @ 13-34). The concept of disposing the acquisition unit on the chest amounts to an intended use limitation of which Platt performs or is inherently capable of performing.

Platt discloses a device that is capable of being disposed on the chest of the patient and Flach et al. support this teaching.

Flach et al. teach signal acquisition using a data collection unit (102A) disposed on the chest of the patient that is connected to relatively short lead wires for the purpose of monitoring the ECG of the patient (figure 2; col. 7 @ 21-24). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the data collection / data acquisition unit disposed on the chest of the patient that is connected to relatively short leadwires in the Platt system in order to provide a proven convenient compact system that secures the monitor to the patient enabling continuous data collection for the ambulatory patient (col. 1 @ 44-51).

As discussed in the previous three paragraphs, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for the generation of a twelve lead electrocardiograph and identifying the QRS complex in the raw cardiac signal.

As to the twelve lead ECG, Albrecht et al. teaches cardiac monitoring using circuitry and hardware to generate a twelve lead electrocardiograph signal for the purpose of providing comprehensive cardiac monitoring. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide circuitry and hardware to perform a twelve lead ECG in the modified Platt system in order to optimize the quality of the monitoring and the versatility of the system so cardiac conditions such as ischemia and myocardial infarction could be identified (abstract; col. 1 @ 24-45; col. 3 @ 42-50; col. 5 @ 6-27; col. 9 @ 58-67).

As to the QRS complex, Albrecht et al. teaches cardiac monitoring using identification of the QRS complex for the purpose of analyzing different parameters of the electrocardiograph signal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have identified the QRS complex in the electrocardiograph signal in the modified Platt system in order to optimize signal analysis so individuals at risk for ventricular arrhythmias are identified, enabling effective treatment (abstract; col. 9 @ 33-57; col. 14 @ 44-48).

7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Flach et al. (US 6773396) and Albrecht et al. (US 5891045) and further in view of Rohde (US 5876351). As discussed in paragraph 6 of this action, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for the display being an LCD with sufficient resolution to display waveforms.

Rohde teaches data display on a GAMEBOY TM system using an LCD (20) with sufficient resolution to display waveforms for the purpose monitoring the ECG of the patient. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an LCD with sufficient resolution to display waveforms in the modified Platt system in order to provide a proven GAMEBOY TM communication means for signal display (col. 5 @ 18-21).

8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Flach et al. (US 6773396) and Albrecht et al. (US 5891045) and further in view of Skelton et al. (US 6292692). As discussed in paragraph 6 of this action, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for the screen being a touch screen interface.

Skelton et al. teach communications interface using a touch screen for the purpose of making input selection known to a controller/ microprocessor. Absent any teachings of criticality of unexpected results, merely changing the input means from a joypad and control buttons to a touch screen interface would be an obvious design choice.

9. Claims 6-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Platt (US 6730025) in view of Flach et al. (US 6773396) and Albrecht et al. (US 5891045) and further in view of Rockwell et al. (US 6141584). As discussed in paragraph 6 of this action, modified Platt discloses the claimed invention except for: a read only memory card (claims 6 and 7), wireless communication using an infrared transceiver (claim 8) or a radio frequency transceiver

Art Unit: 3762

(claim 9), an audio recording unit (claim 10), signal conditioning circuits (claim 11), a "baseline sway filter" (claim 12) and signal analysis and interpretation (claim 14).

As to a memory card, Rockwell et al. teaches data storage using a read only memory card for the purpose of recording the ECG and audio data in memory. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the read only memory card in the modified Platt system in order to provide a mechanism enabling the collected data to be reviewed and analyzed at a future time (col. 11 @ 3-16).

As to wireless communication, Rockwell et al. teach wireless communication using infrared and radio frequency communication signals for the purpose of conveying information to remote locations. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used infrared and radio frequency signals in the modified Platt system in order to enable sharing of information and report generation to optimize the patient's treatment (col. 5 @ 2-17).

As to an audio unit, Rockwell et al. teach event recording using an audio unit for the purpose of documenting events associated with patient interaction and care. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used an audio unit in the modified Platt system in order to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the patient's condition and the treatment afforded the patient so the events are accurately reconstructed (col. 10 @ 1-9).

As to signal conditioning and filtering, Rockwell et al. teach signal conditioning using an amplifier, filter (read as a baseline sway filter), A /D converter for the purpose of optimizing the quality of the signal. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the

time of the invention to have used signal conditioning including baseline sway filtering in the modified Platt system in order to eliminate signal artifact, making the cardiac signals distinct so they can be more easily interpreted (col. 10 @ 46-49).

As to signal analysis, Rockwell et al. teach cardiac signal evaluation using signal analysis for the purpose of detecting the cardiac rhythm of the heart. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used signal analysis in the modified Platt system in order to alert the user to cardiac condition, so conditions such as ventricular tachycardia requiring immediate medical attention can be identified and treated (col. 10 @ 49-51).

Other Prior Art Cited

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure. US 6654631 to Sahai teaches the use of a twelve lead EKG with a portable monitoring device (col. 1 @ 64).

Statutory Basis

11. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza, telephone number is (571) 272-4953. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and for After Final communications.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3762

> ROBERT E. PEZZUTO PRIMARY EXAMINER